



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/187,669	11/05/1998	EDUARDO MARBAN	47728	3339

7590 01/31/2003

DIKE BRONSTEIN ROBERTS & CUSHMAN
Intellectual Property Practice Group
EDWARDS & ANGELL
P.O. Box 9169
BOSTON, MA 02209

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

LEFFERS JR, GERALD G.

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1636

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003

18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark OfficeAddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST-NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY, DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter Corless (3) Berry Jeffers
(2) Jennifer Rosenfield (4)

Date of Interview 1-14-03Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached. was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: New SpecificityIdentification of prior art discussed: Komis (4,559,575)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed New Warner ProjectDiscussed Briefly Concept of Invention Re Komis 1,775
patent Mr Corless told that it is likely they would have
to Refile.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

1/14/03

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Berry Jeffers